

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,754	09/940,754 08/28/2001		Christopher D. Paulson	01-3491496.00141	7667
24319	7590	06/16/2005		EXAMINER	
LSI LOGIC CORPORATION 1621 BARBER LANE			DO, CHAT C		
MS: D-106		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILPITAS, CA 95035				2193	

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/940,754	PAULSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Chat C. Do	2193	

Delote the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chat C. Do	2193	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	dianas with 27 CER 44 27 must be	filed within two month	a aktha data ak
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i L. Delow),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		time also file al amage along	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	umely filed amendme	int canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>10</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 11-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	t door NOT place the smallestics in	a aandition fax alla	naa haaassaas
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by SEe below.	,	. 1	ice because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).	
		AMILYKHATRI	
	Р	RIMARY EXAMINE	R

Application No.

Part 3(a): the applicant amends claims 2, 4, 6-7, 11, 14, 16-17, and 20 by narrowing the scope of the claim due to the following new word/phrase "in parallel", "as determined by multi-bit selection signal,"... These new issues would require further consideration and search prior making a decision.

Part 11: The language of claim 1 does not limit that the sampling circuit requires a plurality of clock cycles, but rather the sampling circuit is performed during a plurality of clock cycle. Figure 2 of cited reference clearly shown a A/D component a plurality of clocks. Therefore, the A/D component is operated to generated digital signal in at least one cycle of the plurality of clocks. In addition, the claim does not define what is the "lost state". Thus, the examiner interprets the "lost state" as any state of the signal.